

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,919		12/21/2001	Michael T. Heffelfinger	10122A	5063
23455	7590	05/21/2003			
EXXONM	OBIL	CHEMICAL COMP.	EXAMI	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2			CHEN V	CHEN, VIVIAN	
BAYTOWN	I, TX	77522-2149		Chibit, v	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1773	
				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	$\Diamond$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/036,919	HEFFELFINGER ET AL.				
• Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
7	Vivian Chen	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated to the provided by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	<u>1 April 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	l/or election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	Ξ, ,	•				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)  approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the praphication from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).				
<ul> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a li</li> <li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>	•					
	-	,				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p</li> <li>15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome</li> </ul>	• •					
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6				

Application/Control Number: 10/036,919

Art Unit: 1773

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/54968 (WO '968) in view of BALAJI ET AL (US 6,150,013) or WILKIE (US 5,981,047) or WILKIE (US 5,792,549).

WO '968 discloses a coextruded, biaxially oriented film comprising the recited core layer comprising syndiotactic polypropylene and at least one additional layers on at least one surface of the core layer, wherein the film may comprise a five layer structure with two additional layers on each side of the core layer, and wherein the additional layers are isotactic polypropylene or other polymers as recited in claims 1, 4-6, 10, 13, 16-19 and optionally contains silica and/or alicylic hydrocarbon (claims 8-9). The film further comprises the recited coating layers (claim 7) and has low shrinkage values. (WO '968; line 20, page 1 to line 11, page 2; page 4-7; Examples) However, the reference does not explicitly disclose the recited shrinkage values at 135°C.

BALAJI ET AL and WILKIE '047 and '549 each disclose that it is well known in the art to heat set or anneal biaxially oriented polypropylene films in order to increase dimensional

Art Unit: 1773

stability and minimize shrinkage. (BALAJI ET AL, lines 17-26, col. 7) (WILKIE '047, lines 35-49, col. 9) (WILKIE '549, lines 6-21, col. 7)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize conventional heat-setting methods to minimize film shrinkage. It also would have been obvious to adjust the heat treatment parameters in order to obtain the dimensional stability (claims 1-3, 11-15) required by specific usage conditions.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

WO 99/33643 disclose biaxially oriented films containing a syndiotactic polypropylene layer.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivian Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3551. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 (for non-after finals) and (703) 872-9311 (for after-finals).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

May 18, 2003

Vivian Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773